

M285K - course #4

No course this Friday 15/1. & Monday holiday

Next one: Wednesday 20/1.

∇^{st} on $L^p(\Omega)$ generator of $x \mapsto T_x F = F(a(-+x))$.

∇ on $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d_x)$ gen of $x \mapsto F^{\#}(\cdot, x, a)$

$\left. \begin{array}{l} \nabla^{\#} \\ \text{nb} \end{array} \right\}$

Lemma (corrector eqn.)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -\nabla \cdot a(\nabla \varphi_j + e_j) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d \text{ (weak) a.s.} \\ \varphi_j \in H^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d; L^2(\Omega)) \\ \nabla \varphi_j \text{ stat.} \end{array} \right.$$

$\Leftrightarrow \nabla \varphi_j = G_j^{\#} : \quad -\nabla^{\text{st}} \cdot a^b(G_j + e_j) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \text{ (weak)}$

(ie $\int \nabla^{\text{st}} H \cdot a^b(G_j + e_j) = 0 \quad \forall H \in H^1(\Omega)$.)

Proof. \Rightarrow $\forall h \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), \forall H \in L^2(\Omega)$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[H \int \nabla h \cdot a \left(\underbrace{\nabla \varphi_j}_{G_j^\#} + e_j \right) \right] = 0$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy \underbrace{H^\#(0, a) \nabla h(y) \cdot a(y)}_{\text{by stat: } H^\#(-y, a(\cdot+y)) \nabla h(y) \cdot a(y)} \left(G_j^\#(y, a) + e_j \right) \right]$$

by stat: $H^\#(-y, a(\cdot+y)) \nabla h(y) \cdot a(y) \left(G_j^\#(0, a(\cdot+y)) + e_j \right)$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy \underbrace{H^\#(-y, a) \nabla h(y) \cdot a(0)}_{\text{by stat: } H^\#(-y, a(\cdot+y)) \nabla h(y) \cdot a(y)} \left(G_j^\#(0, a) + e_j \right) \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla h(y) H^\#(-y) dy \right) \cdot a^b \left(G_j + e_j \right) \right].$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(y) \underbrace{\nabla H^\#(-y)}_{(\nabla^{\text{st}} H)^\#}$$

Take $h \rightarrow \delta_0$:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\nabla^{\text{st}} H \cdot a^b(\theta_j + e_j) \right] = 0.$$

$$\boxed{\text{a}} \left[- \nabla^{\text{st}} \cdot a^b(\theta_j + e_j) = 0. \right]$$

$$\forall h \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), H \in L^2(\Omega),$$

$$\text{define } \mathcal{Q}_{h,H}(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy h(x-y) H^\#(y).$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_{h,H} \in \begin{matrix} \mathbb{R}^d \\ \text{loc} \\ C_c^\infty \end{matrix} (\mathbb{R}^d; L^2(\Omega)) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{h,H}^b \in H^1(\Omega)$$

$$\nabla^{\text{st}} \mathcal{Q}_{h,H}^b = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy \nabla h(-y) H^\#(y).$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\nabla^{\text{st}} \mathcal{Q}^b \cdot a^b(\theta_j + e_j) \right] = 0.$$

$$= \dots = \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{H} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla h \cdot a(\theta_j^\# + e_j) \right]$$

$$\text{a.s. } \cap \cap \cap (\theta_j^\# \dots) = 0$$

$$\rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \forall h \cdot \theta (\sigma_\nu + c_\nu) = 0.$$

□

Careful: PDEs on Ω are not an easy matter.

Lemma (lack of fundamental tools on Ω).

Assume $\exists G_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ s.t. $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} G_0^\# \text{ is Greenian field} \\ \int G_0^\# = 0 \\ \int G_0^\#(x) G_0^\#(y) = C_0 |x-y|, C_0 \in \mathbb{R}^1. \end{array} \right.$

(i) Poincaré's inequality $\|F - \mathbb{E}F\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \|\nabla^{\text{st}} F\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ or $H^1(\Omega)$ is false $\forall C > 0$.

(ii) Rellich's theorem $H^m(\Omega) \subset L^2(\Omega)$

$\therefore \text{if } m > 0$

(iii) Sobolev's inequality $\|F\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq C \|F\|_{H^m(\Omega)}$

is false $\forall m > 0, q > 2, C > 0$.

Proof. (i) $F_m = m^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h\left(\frac{z}{m}\right) \Theta_0^\#(y) dy, \quad h \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

$$\|F_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = m^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h\left(\frac{z}{m}\right) h\left(\frac{z}{m}\right) C_0(y-z) dy dz$$

$$\xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |h|^2 \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} C_0 \right)$$

$$m^2 \|\nabla^{st} F_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \rightarrow \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla h|^2 \right) \left(\int C_0 \right)$$

(ii) F_m tend in $H^m(\Omega) \forall m$

but F_m has no limit in $L^2(\Omega)$.

(iii) exercise: $(F_m)^p$, $m, p < \infty$, $p < \infty$

1

II.3 Corrector equation.

Theorem (Papaniolou - Varadhan '78, Kozlov '78).

Assume a is stat. and ergodic.

Then $\exists!$ $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_d) \in H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^d; L^2(\Omega))^d$.

s.t. $\left. \begin{array}{l} -\nabla \cdot a(\nabla \varphi_j + e_j) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d \text{ (weak) a.s.} \\ \nabla \varphi_j \text{ is stationary (ie } \nabla \varphi_j(\cdot+x, a) = \nabla \varphi_j(\cdot, a(\cdot+x)) \text{)} \\ \mathbb{E} \nabla \varphi_j = 0 \end{array} \right\}$

$$\mathbb{E} \nabla \varphi_j = 0$$

omkhony \downarrow $\mathbb{B} \quad \psi_j = 0.$

Moreover, $\mathbb{E}[|\nabla \psi_j|^2] \leq \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^2 - 1. \quad (\alpha \text{Id} \leq a \leq \beta \text{Id})$

Remark: In general φ is not stationary itself.

a) In 1D: $\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}[\xi]} \int_0^x (\xi - \mathbb{E}[\xi]) \quad (\varphi(0) = 0).$

↳ CLT: $\mathbb{E}[|\varphi(x)|^2] \sim \underline{|x|}$ not bounded
 $\rightarrow \varphi$ not stationary.

b) In perturbative small-disorder regime:

$a_s = \text{Id} + \delta b$

$\delta \ll 1$
 b random coeff field, $\mathbb{E} b = 0$
 $\mathbb{E}[b(x)b(y)] = C(x,y), C \in \mathcal{L}^1.$

Formally: $\psi_j = \delta \psi_j + O(\delta^2)$

where $-\Delta \psi_j = \nabla \cdot \underline{b}$ "linearized" w.r.t randomness

$$\psi_j = (-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \cdot \underline{b}$$

$$\mathbb{E} [|\psi_j(x)|^2] = \mathbb{E} [| \underbrace{\Delta^{-1}} \nabla \cdot \underline{b} |^2(x)]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} [| \int \underbrace{\nabla G(x-y)}_{\substack{\text{Green} \\ \text{for } -\Delta}} \underline{b}(y) dy |^2]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} [\iint dy dz \nabla G(x-y) \nabla G(x-z) \underline{b}(y) \underline{b}(z)]$$

$$= \iint dy dz \nabla G(y) \nabla G(z) \underbrace{C(y-z)}_{\in L^1}$$

$$\sim \int |\nabla G|^2$$

$$G \sim |x|^{2-d}$$

$$\infty \text{ (at } \infty \text{)}$$

$$\text{iff } 2(1-d) < -d$$

$$d > 2$$

$$\nabla G \sim |x|^{1-d}$$

\Rightarrow expect stationary connector only for $d > 2$
... require mixing.]

Remark. In periodic setting: $-\nabla \cdot \mathbf{a}(\nabla \varphi + \mathbf{e}) = 0$
 \mathbf{e}_{per}

$\Rightarrow \exists! \varphi \in H^1_{\text{per}}$ by Poincaré.

Proof (of existence of connector).

$$E[\nabla^{\text{st}} F] = -E[\nabla^{\text{st}} F]$$

Difficulty: $\mathcal{Y} = \{ \nabla^{\text{st}} F : F \in H^1(\mathcal{D}) \}$ not closed in $L^2(\mathcal{D})^d$.

Exercise: weak closure of $\mathcal{Y} \equiv X := \{G \in [L^2(\Omega)]^d :$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \nabla_j^{\text{st}} G_k &= \nabla_k^{\text{st}} G_j \quad (\text{weak}) \\ &\forall j, k \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$\& \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[G] = 0}$$

Remark: $G \in X \iff G^\# \in L^2_c(\mathbb{R}^d \times \Omega)$

$$\text{with } (\nabla_j G_k^\# = \nabla_k G_j^\#) \quad (\text{weak}) \quad \forall j, k.$$

$$\& \mathbb{E} G^\# = 0.$$

Poincaré
lemma
 \Leftrightarrow

$$G^\# = \nabla \varphi, \quad \varphi \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\Omega))$$

$$\text{s.t. } \nabla \varphi \text{ stat.}, \quad \mathbb{E} \nabla \varphi = 0.$$

Consequences: $\int -\nabla \cdot a(\nabla \varphi_j + e_j) = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^d

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} &(\nabla \varphi_j) \text{ stat.}, \quad \mathbb{E} \nabla \varphi_j = 0 \end{aligned} \right.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\nabla \varphi_j)^{\#} = G_j : \begin{cases} -\nabla^{\text{st}} \cdot a^b(G_j + e_j) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \\ G_j \in X \end{cases}$$

Notice that $\mathbb{E}[G \cdot a^b G] \geq \alpha \mathbb{E}[|G|^2] \quad \forall G \in X$.

By Lax-Milgram: $\exists! G_j \in X$ st $\mathbb{E}[G \cdot a^b G_j] = -\mathbb{E}[G \cdot a^b e_j] \quad \forall G \in X$.

In particular: $\forall H \in H^1(\Omega)$, $\nabla^{\text{st}} H \in X$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\nabla^{\text{st}} H \cdot a^b (G_j + e_j)] = 0$$

\rightarrow as above: $G_j^{\#} = \nabla \varphi_j$, $\varphi_j \in H^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\Omega))$.

0 1 + + 0 1

Remark: construction of ψ .

$$\varphi_j(x) := \begin{pmatrix} e^{x \cdot \nabla^{\text{st}}} - f e^{g \cdot \nabla^{\text{st}}} \\ T_x \quad B \end{pmatrix} (\Delta^{\text{st}})^{-1} \nabla^{\text{st}} \cdot G_j$$

• well-defined: by Fubini's theorem.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} |\varphi_j(x)|^2 &\leq |x|^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \nabla^{\text{st}} (\Delta^{\text{st}})^{-1} \nabla^{\text{st}} G_j \right|^2 \right] \\ &\leq |x|^2 \mathbb{E} \left[|G_j|^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_k \varphi_j(x) &= \underbrace{e^{x \cdot \nabla^{\text{st}}}}_{T_x} \left(\underbrace{\nabla_k^{\text{st}} (\Delta^{\text{st}})^{-1} \nabla_l^{\text{st}} (G_j)_l}_{\Delta^{\text{st}} (G_j)_k} \right) \\ &= \underbrace{\nabla_l^{\text{st}} \nabla_k^{\text{st}} (G_j)_l}_{\nabla_l^{\text{st}} (G_j)_k} \end{aligned}$$

$$\left\{ (G_j)_h \right\}$$

$$(G_j^\#)_h(x).$$

□



Requirement

$$\left[\text{Sublinearity} : |\varphi(x)| \ll |x| \right]$$

- 1D: $|\varphi(x)| \ll |x|^{\frac{1}{2}}$
- $d > 2$: expect $|\varphi(x)| \ll 2$. if messy.

Corollary (qualitative multilinearity).

If $\varphi \in H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^d, L^2(\Omega))$ s.t. $\begin{cases} \nabla \varphi \text{ stat.} \\ \mathbb{E} \nabla \varphi = 0 \end{cases}$

Then: $\varepsilon \varphi(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \rightarrow 0$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ a.s.

(& in fact in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^d) \forall q < \frac{2d}{d-2}$).
