

A TOUR IN CLASSICAL MEAN FIELD

Part I: INTRODUCTION

Consider N classical particles in \mathbb{R}^d with pairwise long-range interactions

Let the force kernel $K: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfy $K(x-x') = -K(x'-x)$
(action-reaction)

Particles' trajectories are described by Newton's equations:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t X_i = V_i \\ \partial_t V_i = \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq N \\ j \neq i}} K(X_i - X_j) \right) \end{array} \right. \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq N$$

↳ "mean-field regime": total force = $O(1)$.

I.1 Different formulations of mean field

Mean-field question: [instead of a complicated many-body problem, what simplified description can we get as $N \rightarrow \infty$ say for the particle density?]

In terms of empirical measure $\mu_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{(x_i, v_i)} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$,

we expect the following: If $\mu_N|_{t=0} \rightarrow F^0$ in some sense

(e.g. for random data $(x_i, v_i)|_{t=0}$ iid $\sim F^0$ we have $\mu_N|_{t=0} \xrightarrow{*} F^0$ in \mathcal{P} a.s.) } } lot of large numbers

Then $\mu_N \rightarrow F$ in a corresponding sense,

where the "macro density" F satisfies the Vlasov eqn:

$$\partial_t F + \mathcal{D} \cdot \nabla_x F + K * F \cdot \nabla_v F = 0, \quad F|_{t=0} = F^0.$$

This is viewed as propagating a law of large numbers along particle dynamics.

Statistical perspective

- Instead of individual particles' trajectories, let's consider the evolution of random ensemble of particles. More precisely, let $F_N \equiv N$ -particle density $\in \mathcal{P}((\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)^N)$
 $=$ law of $(\underbrace{X_1, V_1}_{z_1}, \dots, \underbrace{X_N, V_N}_{z_N})$

We consider exchangeable particles, so $F_N \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{sym}}((\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)^N)$.

- In terms of characteristics:

Newton's equations for particles

\Leftrightarrow Liouville's equation for density :

$$\partial_t F_N + \sum_{i=1}^N v_i \cdot \nabla_{x_i} F_N + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \neq j}^N K(x_i - x_j) \cdot \nabla_{v_i} F_N = 0.$$

- As $N \rightarrow \infty$, the full density F_N contains too much information and we rather aim at describing finite subsets of "typical particles"

ie 1-particle density $F_{N,1}(z) := \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)^{N-1}} F_N(z, z_2, \dots, z_N) dz_2 \dots dz_N$
(1st marginal)

k-particle density $F_{N,k}(z_1, \dots, z_k) := \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)^{N-k}} F_N(z_1, \dots, z_N) dz_{k+1} \dots dz_N$
(kth marginal)

Reformulation of mean field in this setting:

If initial particles are approximately independent ("chaotic")
 i.e. $F_{N,k} |_{t=0} \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{} (F_0)^{\otimes k} \quad \forall k \geq 1$, for some $F_0 \in \mathcal{P} \mathbb{R}^d$

Then they remain so over time: $F_{N,k} \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{} F^{\otimes k} \quad \forall k \geq 1$,
 ("propagation of chaos")

where the limit 1-particle density F satisfies Vlasov.

Reformulation follows from:

Gairns' lemma. Let $(Z_1, \dots, Z_N) \sim F_N \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{sym}}((\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)^N) \quad \forall N$
 and $F \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$.

The following are equivalent:

(i) $F_{N,k} \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{} F^{\otimes k}$ in $\mathcal{P}((\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)^k) \quad \forall k \geq 1$

(ii) $\mu_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{Z_i} \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{} F$ in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ in proba
 i.e. $\mathbb{P}[|\int \varphi(\mu_N - F)| > \varepsilon] \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{} 0 \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0, \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty$.

Proof. • (i) \Rightarrow (ii): $\mathbb{E} |\int \varphi(\mu_N - F)|^2 = \frac{N-1}{N} \int \varphi \otimes \varphi F_{N,2} + \frac{1}{N} \int \varphi F_{N,1} + (\int \varphi F)^2 - 2(\int \varphi F)(\int \varphi F_{N,1}) \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$ by (i).

• (ii) \Rightarrow (i): we note that $\mathbb{E} \int \varphi \mu_N = \int \varphi F_{N,1}$

$$\mathbb{E} (\int \varphi \mu_N)^2 = \frac{N-1}{N} \int \varphi \otimes \varphi F_{N,2} + \frac{1}{N} \int \varphi^2 F_{N,1}$$

& more generally: $|\mathbb{E} (\int \varphi \mu_N)^k - \int \varphi^{\otimes k} F_{N,k}| \leq C_k \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}^k N^{-1}$

\Rightarrow (i) follows from (ii). \square

Rem: } item (ii) is referred to as Klimontovich's perspective to mean field.
 { item (i) is referred to as Bagolyubov's perspective.

I.2) Classical proof of mean field: Klimontovich approach

Key observation (Klimontovich, 1960s).

[If $K \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then μ_N is a weak solution of Vlasov.

Proof. Note that $k(v) = -k(v) = 0$ as $k \in C_b$ by action-reaction.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \forall \varphi \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d): \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int \varphi \mu_N &= \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \varphi(x_i, v_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N v_i \cdot \nabla_x \varphi(x_i, v_i) + \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i \neq j}^N \underbrace{k(x_i - x_j) \cdot \nabla_v \varphi(x_i, v_i)}_{\substack{\text{as } k(v) = 0 \\ \text{or } k(v) = 0}} \\ &= \int (v \cdot \nabla_x \varphi + K * \mu_N \cdot \nabla_v \varphi) \mu_N. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Consequence. [Mean field amounts to stability for weak solutions of Vlasov!
 - if $K \in C_b$.

Theorem (Neunzert '77, Braun-Hepf '77, Dobrushin '79, Koslov '79).

[Let $K \in W^{1,\infty}$ and $F^0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\int |z| dF_0(z) < \infty$
 If $\mu_N|_{t=0} \rightarrow F^0$ in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$
 Then $\mu_N \rightarrow F$ is $C(\mathbb{R}^+, \text{weak-}\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$
 where F is the unique weak solution of Vlasov with data F^0 .

Proof. We split the proof into 2 steps.

Step 1: Compactness:

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{If } K \in C_b \text{ and } \mu_N|_{t=0} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} F^0 \text{ in } \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \\ \text{Then } \mu_N \text{ is rel. compact in } C(\mathbb{R}^+, w_* - \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)) \\ \& \text{ any limit point is a weak sol of Vlasov with initial data } F^0. \end{array} \right.$$

As μ_N is bounded in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathcal{P})$ and as the maps $t \mapsto \int \varphi \mu_N(t)$ are bounded and equicontinuous, $\forall \varphi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, we deduce by Arzela-Ascoli that $\{\mu_N\}_N$ is rel. compact in $C(\mathbb{R}^+, w_* - \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ (with the topology of vague convergence).

Further note that the Vlasov equation for μ_N and its initial convergence ensure that $\{\mu_N(t)\}_N$ is tight $\forall t$. Hence, we find that $\{\mu_N\}_N$ is in fact rel. compact in $C(\mathbb{R}^+, w_* - \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$.

If F is a limit point, we converge to the limit in the weak formulation

$$\int \varphi(0) \mu_N^0 + \int_0^\infty \int \mu_N (\partial_t \varphi + v \cdot \nabla_x \varphi + K * \mu_N \cdot \nabla_v \varphi) = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d),$$

and we deduce that F is a weak solution of Vlasov with initial data F^0 .

Step 2: Uniqueness:

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{If } K \in W^{1,\infty} \text{ and } \int |z| dF^0(z) < \infty, \\ \text{Then } \exists! \text{ weak Vlasov solution } F \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathcal{P}). \end{array} \right.$$

Using characteristics, we first note that $F \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathcal{P})$ is a weak Vlasov solution

$$\iff \left[\begin{array}{l} F(t) = Z(t, \cdot) * F^0 \\ \text{where } Z = (X, V) \text{ satisfies: } \forall z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} \partial_t X(t, z_0) = V(t, z_0) \\ \partial_t V(t, z_0) = (K * F(t))(X(t, z_0)) \quad , \quad t \geq 0 \\ Z(0, z_0) = z_0 \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \exists! \text{ solution} \\ \text{by Cauchy-Lipschitz} \\ \text{as } K \in W^{1,\infty} \end{array} \end{array} \right.$$

In these terms, if we have 2 solutions (F, Z) and (F', Z') (with some data F^0),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{then we can compute: } & |Z(t, z_0) - Z'(t, z_0)| \\
 & \leq \int_0^t ds \left(|V(s, z_0) - V'(s, z_0)| + |k * F(s, X(s, z_0)) - k * F'(s, X'(s, z_0))| \right) \\
 & \leq (1 + \|\nabla k\|_{L^\infty}) \int_0^t ds |Z(s, z_0) - Z'(s, z_0)| \\
 & \quad + \int_0^t ds \left| \underbrace{k * (F - F')(s, X(s, z_0))}_{= \int (k(X(s, z_0) - X(s, y_0)) - k(X(s, z_0) - X'(s, y_0))) dF^0(y_0)} \right| \\
 & \leq \|\nabla k\|_{L^\infty} \int |X(s, y_0) - X'(s, y_0)| dF^0(y_0).
 \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow integrating w.r.t z_0 , we get:

$$\int |Z(t, \cdot) - Z'(t, \cdot)| dF^0 \leq (1 + 2\|\nabla k\|_{L^\infty}) \int_0^t ds \int |Z(s, \cdot) - Z'(s, \cdot)| dF^0.$$

\Rightarrow by Grönwall: $Z(t, \cdot) = Z'(t, \cdot) \quad F^0\text{-ae} \quad \forall t$
 i.e. $F = F'$? □

Remark: In fact, the above proof also yields Dobrushin's stability estimate:

$$\left[\begin{array}{l}
 \text{Let } k \in W^{1, \infty}. \\
 \text{If } F, G \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{P}) \text{ are weak solutions of Vlasov with data } F^0, G^0, \\
 \text{Then: } W_1(F(t), G(t)) \leq e^{(1 + 2\|\nabla k\|_{L^\infty})t} W_1(F^0, G^0) \quad \forall t \geq 0.
 \end{array} \right.$$

Note this can be directly applied to $G = \mu_n$, yielding a quantitative mean-field estimate.

OPEN PROBLEM #1: [What happens for k singular?
 e.g. for k Coulomb, i.e. $k = -\nabla V$, $V(x) = \begin{cases} -\log|x| & : d=2 \\ |x|^{2-d} & : d \geq 3. \end{cases}$

In that case, μ_N is no longer an exact weak solution of Vlasov:

$$\text{we have } \partial_t \mu_N + v \cdot \nabla_x \mu_N + K \star \mu_N \cdot \nabla_v \mu_N = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'$$

$$\text{where now } K \star \mu_N(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{x\}} K(x-y) d\mu_N(y)$$

↳ with diagonal removed!

... and this is FAR from innocent!

Literature: Mean field is only known:

- if $\begin{cases} |K(x)| \lesssim |x|^{-\sigma} \\ |\nabla K(x)| \lesssim |x|^{-\sigma-1} \end{cases}$ with some $\sigma < 1$
(see Haugue-Gabrie '04)

- if $K \in L^\infty$ (see Gabrie-Wong '16, relative entropy method)

More recently, we have shown it $\forall K \in L^2_{loc}$ (Bresch-D-Gabrie '24), which is the first complete result for "genuinely" singular interaction.

This is the topic of Part III — though still not covering Coulomb!

Remark: - for related results for interactions with N -dependent cut-off: see Pickl's work
- for the simpler setting of Brownian particles, see e.g. Bresch-Gabrie-Soler '23.

Remark: the mean-field problem is MUCH simpler for inertless particles
ie for 1st-order dynamics: $\partial_t X_i = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j \neq i}^N K(X_i - X_j)$

(such dynamics appears e.g. as overdamped limit, or for vortices dynamics, etc.)

↳ see Part II for details!

I.3 Bogolyubov perspective

Starting from Dirac's equation $\partial_t F_N + \sum_{i=1}^N v_i \cdot \nabla_{x_i} F_N + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \neq j}^N K(x_i - x_j) \cdot \nabla_{v_i} F_N = 0$

we recall Bogolyubov's perspective to mean field:

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} F_N |_{t=0} = (F^0)^{\otimes N} \\ \Rightarrow F_{N,k} \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{D}'} F^{\otimes k} \quad \forall k \geq 1 \\ \text{where } F \text{ is a weak solution of Vlasov} \end{array} \right.$$

Natural approach: BBGKY hierarchy of equations for $\{F_{N,k}\}_{1 \leq k \leq N}$:

integrating out Liouville next subsets of variables, we find (exercise):

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \forall 1 \leq k \leq N: \\ \partial_t F_{N,k} + \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi_i \cdot \nabla_{x_i} F_{N,k} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \neq j}^k K(x_i - x_j) \cdot \nabla_{v_i} F_{N,k} \\ + \frac{N-k}{N} \sum_{i=1}^k \int K(x_i - x_{k+1}) \cdot \nabla_{v_i} F_{N,k+1}(z_1 \dots z_{k+1}) dz_{k+1} = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

$O(\frac{k^2}{N})$ creates correlations
 $\rightarrow \downarrow$
 $N \rightarrow \infty$
 loss of v -derivative
 next marginal.

PRO: can read where chaoticity gets destroyed, i.e. where correlations are created.

CON: hierarchy of equations with loss of v -derivative, which makes it useless for estimates...

Remark: for $K \in W^{1,\infty}$, mean field can be proven as follows:

1) Compactness: up to extraction, $F_{N,k} \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{D}'} F_k \quad \forall k \geq 1$

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{where } \{F_k\}_k \text{ satisfies in the weak sense the limit hierarchy:} \\ \partial_t F_k + \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi_i \cdot \nabla_{x_i} F_k + \sum_{i=1}^k \int K(x_i - x_{k+1}) \cdot \nabla_{v_i} F_{k+1}(z_1 \dots z_{k+1}) dz_{k+1} = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

2) Uniqueness: $\exists!$ sol to limit hierarchy if $K \in W^{1,\infty}$

(see Spohn '81: abstract argument and reduction to Vlasov stability)

OPEN PROBLEM #2: [Estimate error in propagation of droplets as expected from BBGKY:
 ie $F_{N,k} - F_{N,1}^{\otimes k} = O(N^{-1})$
 e.g. pair correlations $G_{N,2} = F_{N,2} - F_{N,1}^{\otimes 2} = O(N^{-1})$

Rem: in terms of the empirical measure μ_N , this means $\mu_N = F + O(N^{-1/2})$

since $\text{Var} [\int \varphi \mu_N] = \frac{N-1}{N} \int \varphi^{\otimes 2} G_{N,2} + \frac{1}{N} \int (\varphi - \int \varphi F_{N,1})^2 F_{N,1}$.

Literature: only known for KEW^{1,00} (D'20) [but again much more is known for vertexless particles]
 - this is the topic of Part IV

Exercise: [investigate how correlation estimates follow easily from BBGKY hierarchy
 - in the corresponding quantum setting (no V_0 -loss!)
 (see Paul-Pulizzanti-Simonella '17)
 - in case of Brownian particles, which amounts to adding diffusion in v ,
 and allows to compensate for V_0 -loss!
 (see Bresch-Galini-Soler '23)
 ... but such arguments are not available in our setting!